







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,200	12/23/1999	Yuval Bachrach	42390.P7291	7140

7590

10/08/2002

SETH Z KALSON BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP SEVENTH FLOOR 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CA 900251026 EXAMINER

SERRAO, RANODHI N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2189

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	The same of the sa		
,	09/471,200	BACHRACH, YUVAL	V		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ranodhi Serrao	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 1999</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional applica	ation).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Engdahl et al. (5,493,571).
- 3. As per claim 1, Engdahl et al. teaches a PHY to provide data to a MAC via PHY-to-MAC words (Specification, column 6, line 61 column 7, line 6); and to receive data and commands from the MAC via MAC-to-PHY words (Specification, column 9, lines 12-20); the PHY comprising: at least one PHY-to-MAC port to provide signals indicative of the PHY-to-MAC words; at least one MAC-to-PHY port to receive signals indicative of the MAC-to-PHY words (Specification, column 14, lines 5-30); and a register to store a pointer to a memory location so as to provide identification information about the PHY (Specification, column 36, lines 1-12).
- 4. As per claim 3, Engdahl et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above and furthermore teaches a MAC to provide data and commands to a PHY via MAC-to-PHY words (Specification, column 9, lines 12-20); and to receive data from the PHY via PHY-to-MAC words (Specification, column 16, lines 12-20); the MAC comprising: at least one MAC-to-PHY port to provide signals indicative of the MAC-to-PHY words, at least one PHY-to-MAC port to receive signals indicative of the PHY-to-MAC words (Specification, column 14, lines 5-30); wherein the at least one PHY-to-MAC port receives a signal indicative of a pointer to a memory

location so as to provide identification information about the PHY (Specification, column 36, lines 1-12).

- 5. As per claim 5, Engdahl et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above and furthermore teaches a MAC to provide data and commands to a PHY via MAC-to-PHY words (Specification, column 9, lines 12-20); and to receive data from the PHY via PHY-to-MAC words (Specification, column 6, lines 12-20); wherein the MAC comprises: at least one MAC-to-PHY port to provide signals indicative of the MAC to-PHY words; and at least one PHY-to-MAC port to receive signals indicative of the PHY-to MAC words (Specification, column 14, lines 5-30); wherein the at least one PHY-to-MAC port receives a signal indicative of a pointer to a memory location so as to provide identification information about the PHY (Specification, column 36, lines 1-12).
- 6. As per claims 7, 10, and 12 Engdahl et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above and furthermore teaches comprising a register, wherein the chipset loads the identification information into the register (Specification, column 36, lines 1-12).
- 7. As per claim 9 Engdahl et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above and furthermore teaches a computer system comprising (Specification, column 5, lines 8-31); a first memory device (Specification, column 18, lines 14-17); a MAC (Specification, column 5, lines 48-60); a PHY to provide data to the MAC via PHY-to-MAC words (Specification, column 6, line 61 column 7, line 6); and to receive data and commands from the MAC via MAC-to-PHY words (Specification, column 9, lines 12-20); the PHY comprising: at least one PHY-to-MAC port to provide signals indicative of the PHY to-MAC words; at least one MAC-to-PHY port to receive signals indicative of the MAC to-PHY words (Specification, column 14, lines 5-30); and

a register to store a pointer to a memory location in the first memory device so as to provide identification information about the PHY (Specification, column 36, lines 1-12).

8. As per claim 11 Engdahl et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above and furthermore teaches a processor (Specification, column 4, line 61); system memory (Specification, column 18, lines 14-17); secondary memory to store a device driver for the PHY (Specification, column 21, lines 22-42); wherein the processor loads the device driver from the secondary memory into system memory based upon the identification information (Specification, column 20, line 56 – column 21, line 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 4, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engdahl et al. as applied to claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 above, and further in view of Findlater et al. (6,385,208). Engdahl et al. teaches the inventions described above in claims 1, 3, 5, and 7. Engdahl et al. does not teach comprising at least one Reset/Sync port to receive a signal to provide synchronization so that the PHY-to-MAC words and MAC to-PHY words are synchronized into pairs, wherein a pair comprises one MAC-to-PHY word and one PHY-to-MAC word. Findlater et al. teaches comprising at least one Reset/Sync port to receive a

signal to provide synchronization so that the PHY-to-MAC words and MAC to-PHY words are synchronized into pairs (Specification, column 7, lines 7-28); wherein a pair comprises one MAC-to-PHY word and one PHY-to-MAC word (Specification, column 4, lines 17-39). At the time the invention was made, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include comprising at least one Reset/Sync port to receive a signal to provide synchronization so that the PHY-to-MAC words and MAC to-PHY words are synchronized into pairs, wherein a pair comprises one MAC-to-PHY word and one PHY-to-MAC word as taught by Findlater et al. in the method of Engdahl et al. because synchronizing the words into pairs makes the transfer more efficient.

Claim 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engdahl et al. as applied to claims 9, 11, and 12 above, and further in view of Gulick et al. (6,314,501). Engdahl et al. teaches a computer system comprising; a first memory device; a MAC; a PHY to provide data to the MAC via PHY-to-MAC words; and to receive data and commands from the MAC via MAC-to-PHY words; the PHY comprising: at least one PHY-to-MAC port to provide signals indicative of the PHY to-MAC words; at least one MAC-to-PHY port to receive signals indicative of the MAC to-PHY words; and a register to store a pointer to a memory location in the first memory device so as to provide identification information about the PHY. A processor, system memory; secondary memory to store a device driver for the PHY; wherein the processor loads the device driver from the secondary memory into system memory based upon the identification information. Engdahl et al. does not teach a second memory device to store BIOS, wherein the processor loads the device driver independently of loading the BIOS. Gulick et al. teaches a second memory device to store BIOS (Specification, column 30, lines 53-54); wherein

the processor loads the device driver independently of loading the BIOS (Specification, column 55, lines 43-51). At the time the invention was made, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a second memory device to store BIOS, wherein the processor loads the device driver independently of loading the BIOS as taught by Gulick et al. in the method of Engdahl et al. because the bios would slow down the process of loading the device driver.

12. As per claim 15, Engdahl et al. disclose the claimed invention as described above in claims 9, 11, and 12 above. Furthermore Engdahl et al. teaches a system bus; and a chipset in communication with the system bus, wherein the MAC is integrated with the chipset (Specification, column 13, lines 21-39).

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Graziano et al. (5,708,779) teach a multimedia system and method of controlling data transfer between a host system and a network adapter using a dma engine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ranodhi Serrao whose telephone number is (703) 305-8071. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Wong can be reached on (703) 305-3477. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

RNS October 4, 2002

PETER WONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100